Theoretical investigation of intermediate phases between Li₂NH and LiNH₂

Feng Zhang, Yan Wang, and M. Y. Cho[u*](#page-5-0)

School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, USA (Received 27 May 2010; revised manuscript received 16 August 2010; published 17 September 2010)

Lithium imide (Li₂NH) has been considered as a promising medium for hydrogen storage with the following reaction: LiNH₂+LiH \leftrightarrow Li₂NH+H₂. All possible phases involved in the reaction need to be fully characterized in order to understand the right pathway connecting the two end compounds $LiNH₂$ and $Li₂NH$ and to further improve its reaction condition to meet the requirements of practical applications. We study from first-principles calculations the possible intermediate compounds $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$ between Li_2NH and $LiNH_2$. Based on the energetics results, possible intermediate phases are identified for $0 \lt x \leq 1/4$. On the other hand, the intermediate phases are not thermodynamically favorable for $3/4 \le x < 1$ with respect to phase separation into Li₂NH and LiNH₂. The NH and NH₂ anions coexist in the intermediate compounds, but the electronic states derived from these two units are well separated in energy. The band gap of the intermediate compounds is also smaller than that of both Li₂NH and LiNH₂. These signatures from the electronic structure will provide useful guidelines for experimental efforts to search for the intermediate phases.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.82.094112](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.094112)

PACS number(s): 61.50.Nw, 71.20. - b

I. INTRODUCTION

Cycling between Li amide $(LiNH₂)$ and Li imide $(Li₂NH)$ represents a promising hydrogen storage system¹

$$
LiNH2 + LiH \leftrightarrow Li2NH + H2.
$$
 (1)

This system theoretically releases 6.5 wt % hydrogen but the reaction condition is still not optimal for practical applications. Attempt to improve its reaction condition, although with some progress with the introduction of a mixed imide $Li₂Mg(NH)₂,^{2,3}$ $Li₂Mg(NH)₂,^{2,3}$ $Li₂Mg(NH)₂,^{2,3}$ $Li₂Mg(NH)₂,^{2,3}$ has been hampered by the insufficient under-standing of the reaction mechanism.^{4–[7](#page-5-5)} A thorough understanding of all possible phases involved in reaction in Eq. (1) (1) (1) is critical to the discovery of the right pathways for the reaction. David *et al.*[6](#page-5-6) reported through x-ray diffraction studies that the sample in the hydrogenated state shows sharp Bragg peaks for the $LiNH₂$ phase, but the Bragg peaks for the $Li₂NH$ phase of the dehydrogenated sample display an unusual broadening. This suggests that while $LiNH₂$ is the dominant phase in the hydrogenated state, phases with intermediate stoichiometry between $LiNH₂$ and $Li₂NH$ might exist in the dehydrogenated state. More recently, an intermediate compound $Li_{1.5}NH_{1.5}$ was investigated with densityfunctional theory and was suggested to be a stable phase with respect to the decomposition into Li_2NH and $LiNH_2$.^{[8](#page-5-7)} This motivated us to perform theoretical investigations of other possible intermediate phases by first-principles calculations of the crystalline compounds with an intermediate stoichiometry $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$. A careful examination of the model proposed in Ref. [8](#page-5-7) by including the correct zero-point energy (ZPE) value shows that $Li_{1.5}NH_{1.5}$ is not stable with respect to phase separation into $Li₂NH$ and $LiNH₂$ (this will be covered in more detail in Sec. [III](#page-1-0)). Therefore, in this paper we focus on the intermediate phases in the vicinity of $Li₂NH$ $(0 < x \le 1/4)$ and LiNH₂ $(3/4 \le x < 1)$.

Li is highly ionized into $Li⁺$ in the Li-N-H system; at the same time, electrons of H atoms also gravitate toward N atoms in the N-H bonds since hydrogen has a smaller electronegativity than nitrogen. Thus, the intermediate phases

 $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$ can be created by swapping certain Li (H) atoms with H (Li) atoms in the end compound $Li₂NH$ (LiNH₂) while the net charge of the system is kept neutral. We use the structure of $Li₂NH$ and $LiNH₂$ as the starting point for 0 $\langle x \leq 1/4 \text{ and } 3/4 \leq x \leq 1$, respectively. Unlike LiNH₂ which has a well-established structure with $I\overline{4}$ (No. 82) symmetry, the ground-state structure of Li₂NH is still under debate. In some models, Li and N atoms form an antifluorite structure and H atoms randomly occupy certain symmetrical points around N^{9-11} One plausible model derived from the diffraction data moves some Li atoms from the tetrahedral sites to the octahedral sites in the antifluorite structure [see Fig. $1(a)$ $1(a)$.^{[12,](#page-5-10)[13](#page-5-11)} Tetrahedral vacancies are created so this structure will be referred to as structure-V hereinafter. Several other structures have also been proposed that have free energies at *T*=0 a few kilojoule per mole lower than structure-V with the ZPE included.^{14[–16](#page-5-13)} However, these lower-energy structures do not match the diffraction data and/or the measured vibrational spectra.¹⁶ This suggests that either some components that are missing in theoretical calculations (for example, the configurational free energy) favor structure-V at moderate temperatures or the system could be kinetically

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) The model for $Li₂NH$ proposed in Refs. [12](#page-5-10) and [13](#page-5-11) that contains tetrahedral vacancies and octahedral Li atoms. (b) Local structure around an NH anion. (c) Local structure around an octahedral Li cation.

trapped in this metastable state. Of course, there are other possibilities including the inaccuracies of the methodologies in determining the crystal structure of these materials employed in both experimental investigations and densityfunctional theory calculations. In this work, we use structure-V as the reference structure for $Li₂NH$ because it matches experimental data better. In addition, structure-V connects more naturally with the intermediate phases since vacancies will be present due to the deficiency of Li in the intermediate phases.

II. COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

We have performed the calculations based on densityfunctional theory. The Kohn-Sham equations are solved with a plane-wave basis as implemented within the VASP package[.17](#page-5-14)[,18](#page-5-15) For the exchange-correlation functional the generalized gradient approximation in the form proposed by Perdew *et al.*^{[19](#page-5-16)} is used. The electron-ion interaction is described by Vanderbilt ultrasoft pseudopotentials.²⁰ A cut-off energy of 600 eV is used for the plane-wave basis. The totalenergy converges to 10−5 eV in each self-consistent loop, and the structural relaxation terminates when the force on each atom is smaller than 0.01 eV/Å. A $4 \times 4 \times 4$ Monkhorst-Pack *k* mesh is used to carry out integrations over the Brillouin zone in structural relaxations. 21 The free energy at finite temperature is considered within the harmonic approximation.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Li_{2−*x*}**NH**_{1+*x*} (0 ≤ *x* ≤ 1/4)

We first study $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$ for $0 < x \le 1/4$ using structure-V for $Li₂NH$ as the starting point. Two competing effects exist in this structure. On one hand, there is one vacancy among the eight tetrahedral sites that form a cube around each NH anion as shown in Fig. $1(b)$ $1(b)$. With the other seven tetrahedral sites occupied by $Li⁺$ cations, this vacancy effectively creates a local electric field that points from the center of the cube to the vacancy. Thus, the polar N-H bond prefers to point toward the vacancy to maximize the electrostatic attraction between the N-H bond and the vacancy. On the other hand, the Li cation at the octahedral site has short interatomic distances with neighboring Li cations at the tetrahedral sites [see Fig. $1(c)$ $1(c)$], thus the electrostatic repulsion among these Li atoms increases. Here, we create the intermediate compounds $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$ with another pair of competing events. First, we remove part or all of the octahedral Li in structure-V (depending on the value of x), which should be energetically favorable. Then to neutralize the charge of the system, we introduce additional H atoms to bond to some of the N atoms forming $NH₂$ anions. This step costs energy since the single vacancy around the $NH₂$ unit is unable to accommodate both N-H bonds, leaving the orientation of the two N-H bonds frustrated. This method will create $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$ with $0 < x \leq 1/4$, since originally 1/8 of Li atoms are located at the octahedral sites in structure-V. We explicitly study two of these phases: $x=1/8$ and 1/4. We define the formation energy of Li2−*x*NH1+*^x* as

$$
F = f(\text{Li}_{2-x} \text{NH}_{1+x}) - (1 - x)f(\text{Li}_2 \text{NH}) - xf(\text{Li} \text{NH}_2), \quad (2)
$$

where $f(\text{Li}_{2-x}NH_{1+x})$, $f(\text{Li}_2NH)$, and $f(\text{LiNH}_2)$ represent the free energies of $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$, Li₂NH, and LiNH₂, respectively. The free energy of a solid includes the total energy of the electronic system and the vibrational contribution

$$
f = E_{el} + f_{vib}.\tag{3}
$$

The vibrational free energy in the harmonic approximation has the form

$$
f_{vib} = k_B T \sum_{nk} \ln \left[2 \sinh \frac{\hbar \omega_n(k)}{2k_B T} \right],
$$
 (4)

where *n* is the mode index for phonons with crystal momentum *k*. At zero temperature, f_{vib} reduces to the ZPE E_{ZPE} $=1/2\Sigma_{nk}\hbar\omega_n(k)$. To obtain the phonon dispersion $\omega_n(k)$, we have calculated the vibrational modes at the Γ point of a 128-atom supercell by diagonalizing the 384×384 forceconstant matrix determined by the induced forces due to small displacements of each atom. The Brillouin zone of this large supercell is small enough that Eq. (4) (4) (4) can be evaluated by sampling only the Γ point. Since *F* defined in Eq. ([2](#page-1-2)) compares the free energy of the intermediate phase with the weighted sum of $LiNH₂$ and $Li₂NH$ under ambient pressure (the PV term is ignored), the sign of F determines whether the intermediate phase is thermodynamically stable with respect to phase separation. It is a natural choice to use the free energy of structure-V as $f(Li₂NH)$, since here we are mainly interested in predicting the possibility of creating $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$ from structure-V. We follow a strategy for structural search similar to that in Ref. [12.](#page-5-10) Initially, the NH anions and tetrahedral Li atoms form a perfect cubic structure with space group $Fd\overline{3}m$ (No. 227); then we pick certain N atoms to bond to an extra H atom and assign certain 32*e* sites for the octahedral Li atoms. The symmetry is reduced during structural relaxation. We have investigated all inequivalent initial configurations for supercells up to 16 f.u. (64 atoms). Here only the electronic total energy is minimized in the structural relaxation. Although the inclusion of the ZPE could change the lattice constant (for example, by about 2% for LiH), 12 12 12 the change in the $T=0$ free energy is much smaller $[0.1\%$ for LiH (Ref. [12](#page-5-10))]. The effect on F in Eq. ([2](#page-1-2)) is expected to be even smaller because of the error cancellation in taking the energy difference.

Only half of the octahedral Li atoms are removed for *x* $=1/8$. The structure with the lowest energy has the space group Pc (No. 7) with atomic coordinates given in Table [I.](#page-2-0) We show this structure in conventional cubiclike supercell together with a side view along $[100]$ in Fig. [2](#page-2-1)(a). The N-H bond length and H-N-H bond angle in the $NH₂$ anion is 1.03 Å and 103.4°, respectively; both are very close to the values in $LiNH_2$ (1.03 Å and 102.7°). From the [100] side view, each octahedral Li atom is located close to an octahedral site that is a nearest neighbor to an $NH₂$ anion, indicating that the effect of the two energetically unfavorable elements—NH₂ and octahedral Li—is minimized when they are grouped together. The global arrangement of these local $NH₂$ -Li pairs is not important. Several structures with differ-

TABLE I. Atomic coordinates of the structure shown in Fig. [2](#page-2-1)(a) for $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$ with $x=1/8$. The structure has *Pc* (No. 7) space-group symmetry. Lattice parameters are: *a*=7.07 Å, *b* $=10.25$ A, $c=7.07$ A, $\alpha = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$, and $\beta = 88.57^{\circ}$.

Atom	$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}$	\mathcal{Y}	$\ensuremath{\mathnormal{Z}}$
Li	0.376	0.385	0.733
	0.819	0.851	0.255
	0.364	0.896	0.797
	0.872	0.387	0.268
	0.373	0.113	0.711
	0.862	0.615	0.222
	0.117	0.245	0.783
	0.107	0.123	0.501
	0.682	0.408	0.992
	0.139	0.894	0.518
	0.620	0.633	0.009
	0.120	0.369	0.494
	0.566	0.090	0.005
	0.074	0.591	0.500
	0.627	0.865	0.995
N	0.601	0.008	0.750
	0.108	0.514	0.243
	0.136	0.015	0.756
	0.632	0.506	0.243
	0.364	0.244	0.512
	0.889	0.270	0.969
	0.361	0.763	0.467
	0.871	0.744	0.010
Н	0.226	0.547	0.737
	0.725	0.046	0.236
	0.523	0.564	0.747
	0.999	0.020	0.261
	0.887	0.207	0.383
	0.347	0.297	0.083
	0.849	0.793	0.577
	0.368	0.702	0.887
	0.495	0.262	0.914

ent space-group symmetries but similar $NH₂-Li$ pairs have almost identical total energies. At *T*=0, the structure for *x* =1/8 has a negative formation energy of −8.7 meV/f.u. with the ZPE included. Figure $3(a)$ $3(a)$ shows that *F* remains negative at finite temperature as high as 440 K, higher than room temperature.

For $x=1/4$, all the octahedral Li atoms are removed. Figure $2(b)$ $2(b)$ gives this structure and a side view along [110]. Atomic coordinates are given in Table [II.](#page-3-0) The side view along $[110]$ shows that the NH₂ anions are also grouped into linear chains with nearest neighbors. The formation energy for this structure is −16 meV/f.u. including the ZPE at *T* $=0$. Temperature dependence of *F* in Fig. [3](#page-2-2)(b) shows that this structure is still stable with respect to phase separation into pure $LiNH₂$ and $Li₂NH$ at the dehydrogenation tempera-

FIG. 2. (Color online) Low-energy structures of Li_{2−*x*}NH_{1+*x*} for (a) $x=1/8$ and (b) $x=1/4$. The side views on the right panel are along $[100]$ for (a) and $[110]$ for (b). The atomic coordinates for (a) and (b) are given in Tables [I](#page-2-0) and [II,](#page-3-0) respectively.

ture for reaction (1): ~ 600 K. Moreover, *F* for the structure in Fig. $2(b)$ $2(b)$ is almost double of that in Fig. $2(a)$, indicating a quasilinear dependence of *F* on *x* for $0 < x \le 1/4$ [see Fig. $3(b)$ $3(b)$]. This suggests that the intermediate compounds $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$ could coexist for an arbitrary value of *x* within $0 < x \leq 1/4$ if structure-V is the correct end structure for $Li₂NH.$

Based on the above analysis of the energetics, $Li_{1.75}NH_{1.25}$ $(x=0.25)$ could be first created if $LiNH₂$ and LiH is mixed at a molar ratio of 1:1, following:

$$
LiNH_2 + LiH \leftrightarrow Li_{1.75}NH_{1.25} + 0.25LiH + 0.75H_2, (5)
$$

then, $Li_{1.75}NH_{1.25}$ further reacts with the remaining LiH and creates $Li₂NH$

$$
Li_{1.75}NH_{1.25} + 0.25LiH \leftrightarrow Li_2NH + 0.25H_2.
$$
 (6)

The calculated reaction enthalpy for reactions in Eqs. (5) (5) (5) and ([6](#page-2-4)) is 0.57 eV/ H_2 and 0.65 eV/ H_2 , respectively, while the calculated reaction enthalpy for the original reaction in Eq.

FIG. 3. (a) Formation energy of $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$ $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$ $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$ in Eq. (2) as a function of (a) temperature and (b) x at $T=0$. The structure with the lowest formation energy for each value of *x* is used for the calculation.

TABLE II. Atomic coordinates of the structure shown in Fig. $2(b)$ $2(b)$ for Li_{2−*x*}NH_{1+*x*} with *x*=1/4. The structure has *Pna*2₁ (No. 33) space-group symmetry. Lattice parameters are: *a*=7.15 Å, *b* $= 10.51$ A, $c = 7.10$ A, and $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$.

Atom	\mathcal{X}	y	$\ensuremath{\mathnormal{Z}}$
Li	0.010	0.105	0.409
	0.935	0.652	0.362
	0.003	0.886	0.326
	0.252	0.872	0.099
	0.233	0.649	0.164
	0.192	0.089	0.110
	0.305	0.419	0.119
N	0.999	0.770	0.594
	0.990	0.758	0.126
	0.231	0.985	0.353
	0.275	0.505	0.365
Н	0.021	0.290	0.208
	0.999	0.294	0.502
	0.365	0.979	0.864
	0.158	0.547	0.874
	0.127	0.740	0.543

([1](#page-0-0)) is 0.59 eV/H_2 . This two-step scheme has not been experimentally confirmed since pressure-composition isotherms of $Li₂NH$ only show one plateau.¹ A second lowerpressure plateau at the beginning of absorption (or the end of desorption) could be missed since the data set is not fine enough at the early stage of the absorption measurements and the desorption measurements do not reach the late stage at all. Besides, contamination of samples that caused the plateau to be sloped might also play a role in missing the second plateau. Further experiments, especially in the early (late) stage of adsorption (desorption), is desirable to verify the intermediate phases close to the $Li₂NH$ end. On the other hand, failure to establish such compounds might suggest the invalid choice of structure-V as the reference state, since the formation energy for these intermediate phases at *T*=0 will be positive $(30 \text{ meV/f.u. and } 14 \text{ meV/f.u. for } x=1/8 \text{ and } x$ $=1/4$, respectively) if a lower-energy structure such as the one proposed in Ref. [15](#page-5-19) is used as the reference structure for $Li₂NH.$

The calculated volume of Li_{2−*x*}NH_{1+*x*} is 64.02 Å³/f.u. and 66.69 Å³/f.u. for $x=1/8$ and $x=1/4$, respectively. Both are larger than that of $Li₂NH$ (61.78 \AA ³/f.u.), showing that the frustrated $NH₂$ anions in these intermediate compounds tend to expand the lattice. This is consistent with the broadening in the measured x-ray Bragg peaks of the dehydrogenated samples. 6 In Ref. [6,](#page-5-6) the dehydrogenated samples created at a reaction temperature of 260 °C showed disordered cubic phases of a wide and continuous stoichiometry variation. These disordered phases are expected to be stabilized by configurational entropy at high temperature, in a similar way as in the high-temperature disordered phases of $\text{Li}_2\text{Mg(NH)}_2$ ^{[22](#page-5-20)[,23](#page-5-21)} The entropy contribution to the free energy associated with many possible configurations of the frus-

FIG. 4. (Color online) The total DOS (left) and projected DOS on N and H atoms (right) for $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$ with $x=0$, 1/8, 1/4, and 1. For the two intermediate compounds, the projected DOS onto N and H atoms of NH anions is not shown, since it highly resembles that in pure $Li₂NH$. The energy zero is at the top of the valence bands for $x=0$, 1/8, and 1/4. The spectrum of LiNH₂ is shifted for comparison so that the NH₂-derived states in the intermediate compounds are aligned with the corresponding states in LiNH₂.

trated $NH₂$ units could possibly favor a disordered arrangement. The topic of configurational entropy in the general amide/imide system remains an interesting subject for future theoretical studies.

The electronic structures of the intermediate compounds are also studied. In Fig. [4,](#page-3-1) we plot the total density of states (DOS) on the left and the projected DOS onto N and H atoms on the right for $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$ with $x=0$ (pure Li₂NH), 1/8, 1/4, and 1 (pure $LiNH₂$). Li makes little contribution to the electronic states below the Fermi level since it is highly ionized. For $Li₂NH$, the three significant regions below the Fermi level in the total DOS, from low to high energies, are mainly originated from $H(s)$ -N (s) bonding, $H(s)$ -N (p) bonding and the lone electron pair on the N atoms, as could be seen from the projected DOS in Fig. [4.](#page-3-1) For the intermediate compounds with $x=1/8$ and 1/4, these three regions are still the main contributions to the total DOS since the concentration of $NH₂$ anions is low.

However, there also exist two additional $NH₂$ -derived peaks around *E*=−16.2 and −7.5 eV, that are well separated from the original NH-derived regions. The former is derived from $H(s)$ -N (s) bonding and the latter has $H(s)$ -N (p) bonding characters in the $NH₂$ anion. Electronic states with similar bonding characters can also be identified in $LiNH₂$. These states in $LiNH₂$ are aligned with those in the intermediate compounds as illustrated by the dashed lines in the left panel of Fig. [4,](#page-3-1) since the bonding between N and H atoms in the NH2 anion is almost unaffected by its different environment in $LiNH₂$ and in the intermediate compounds. This is evidenced by the nearly unchanged geometrical parameters such as the bond length and the bond angle. One could see that although $LiNH₂$ has a larger gap of 3.5 eV than that of $Li₂NH$ (2.7 eV), the conduction-band minimum for $LiNH₂$ lies below that for $Li₂NH$. Thus, the conduction-band minimum for the intermediate compounds is also pulled down due to the mixing of NH_2 -derived states in the conduction bands, resulting in a reduced band gap of 2.4 eV (2.3 eV) for $x=1/8$ (1/4), compared with the band gap of 2.7 eV for $Li₂NH.$

B. Li_{1.5}NH_{1.5}

A structure for $x=1/2$ ($\text{Li}_{1.5}NH_{1.5}$) was proposed in Ref. [8.](#page-5-7) In this structure, N atoms are located at 4*a* sites of the space group $F\overline{4}3m$ (No. 216) with cubic symmetry. Li atoms partially occupy 4*c* and 4*d* sites and H atoms partially occupy 16*e* sites of the same space group. However, the possibility of breaking the cubic symmetry resulting from partial occupancies of certain symmetrical sites was not considered. Consequently, the final structure is in a stressed state. In addition, the Einstein model used in Ref. [8](#page-5-7) significantly underestimated the ZPE of this structure. We have carried out further relaxation of the stress which yields a structure with a slightly lower energy. The ZPE from the full phonon spectrum is 0.59 eV/f.u., and the formation energy from Eq. (2) (2) (2) is 77 meV/f.u. at *T*=0 for this relaxed structure, if structure-V is used as the reference structure for $Li₂NH$. Given that the formation energy is positive, $Li_{1.5}NH_{1.5}$ is not a stable intermediate phase at *T*=0. In Ref. [8,](#page-5-7) an underestimated value of 0.43 eV/f.u. for the ZPE of the stressed cubic structure was used to calculate the reaction enthalpy of $1/2Li₂NH$ +1/2LiNH₂→Li_{1.5}NH_{1.5}. This yielded a negative value of −39 meV/f.u. for the reaction enthalpy with the structure reported in Ref. [14](#page-5-12) (with a lower energy than structure-V) as the reference structure for $Li₂NH$. As mentioned above, if the most accurate value for the ZPE is used, Li_15NH_15 is not a favorable phase at *T*=0.

C. Li_{2−*x*}**NH**_{1+*x*} (3/4≤*x* < 1)

To create the intermediate compounds $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$ for $3/4 \leq x < 1$, we use the LiNH₂ structure as the starting point. Namely, we add certain amount of Li ions to the vacant tetrahedral sites and replace a suitable amount of $NH₂$ anions with NH anions in $LiNH₂$ to balance the charge. In $LiNH₂$, Li atoms only occupy half of the tetrahedral sites. The tetrahedral vacancies are arranged in such a way that each N-H bond points to a place close the midpoint of two adjacent vacancies.²³ Partially filling these vacancies with positively charged Li ions will block the space for N-H bonds both sterically and electrostatically and thus is expected to be an endothermic process. One extra Li cation can affect up to four NH2 anions that are tetrahedrally coordinated around the extra Li. Figure [5](#page-4-0) shows two final structures for $x=3/4$ and $x=7/8$ by enumerating all the nonequivalent positions for the extra Li cations and NH anions while preserving the $I\overline{4}$ (No. 82) space-group symmetry of $LiNH₂$ and the size of the unit cell (each unit cell contains 64 atoms for $x=3/4$ and 128 atoms for $x=7/8$). The formation energy for the structures in Figs. $5(a)$ $5(a)$ and $5(b)$ is 41 meV/f.u. and 19 meV/f.u. with the ZPE included at *T*=0, respectively. This shows that they are unstable with respect to phase separation. Here, the choice of the structure for $Li₂NH$ is not critical since the weight factor

FIG. 5. (Color online) Structures with the lowest formation energy for $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$ with (a) $x=3/4$ and (b) $x=7/8$. (c) is the common local structure around the extra Li cations and NH anions.

of $Li₂NH$ $Li₂NH$ $Li₂NH$ in Eq. (2) is small. For simplicity, we keep using structure-V. Figure $3(a)$ $3(a)$ shows a weak dependence of *F* on temperature for both structures. The added Li cations and NH anions in Figs. $5(a)$ $5(a)$ and $5(b)$ are grouped into the same local structure shown in Fig. $5(c)$ $5(c)$, where the two added Li atoms (Li1 and Li2) occupy two vertical tetrahedral vacancies, and at the same time the anions at their common nearest neighbors N1 and N2 are changed from $NH₂$ to NH with the remaining N-H bond well accommodated by two horizontal vacancies. Although each added Li atom still affects two other $NH₂$ anions that form a tetrahedron with the anions at N1 and N2, this grouping of the extra Li cations and NH anions effectively minimizes the number of affected $NH₂$ anions; and thus the energy for the structures in Figs. $5(a)$ $5(a)$ and $5(b)$ $5(b)$ is relatively low.²⁴ The lattice parameters of these two intermediate phases change within 1% of those of LiNH₂. The volume for $x=3/4$ and $x=7/8$ is 63.55 Å³/f.u. and 63.79 \AA^3 /f.u., respectively, only slightly smaller than the value of 63.95 \AA^3 /f.u. for pure LiNH₂.

Finally, the total and projected DOSs for Li2−*x*NH1+*^x* with $x=3/4$ and 7/8 are given in Fig. [6.](#page-5-23) For the total DOS, although the electronic states similar to those in $LiNH₂$ (shown in Fig. [4](#page-3-1)) make the main contributions as expected, one can also see two extra regions indicated by the arrows. From the projected DOS onto the NH anions, it can be seen that the electronic states in these two regions are originated from the $N(s)$ -H (s) bonding and the lone pair in the NH anions, respectively. The lone-pair states in the NH anions are higher in energy than all the NH₂-derived states below the Fermi level and define the valence-band maximum. This causes a significant drop in the band gap for these two intermediate compounds: 2.0 eV (2.3 eV) for $x=3/4(x=7/8)$, compared with the band gap for $LiNH₂$: 3.5 eV.

FIG. 6. (Color online) The total DOS (left) and projected DOS onto N and H atoms of NH anions (right) for $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$ with *x* $=$ 3/4 and 7/8. The arrows on the left panel point to the NH-derived regions. The projected DOS onto N and H atoms of $NH₂$ anions is omitted, since it highly resembles that in pure LiNH₂.

IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have performed first-principles investigations of intermediate compounds $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$ with $0 < x$ ≤ 1/4, *x*=1/2, and 3/4 ≤ *x* < 1. For $0 < x \le 1/4$, Li_{2-*x*}NH_{1+*x*} is created by replacing a certain amount of NH anions with $NH₂$ anions in pure $Li₂NH$ and by removing at the same time a proper amount of Li to satisfy charge neutrality. When using the structure with tetrahedral vacancies as the reference structure for Li₂NH, Li_{2−*x*}NH_{1+*x*} is more stable than the phase-separated mixture of Li₂NH and LiNH₂ for $0 < x$

 \leq 1/4 at temperatures close to the dehydrogenation temperature of the Li-N-H system. This shows that these intermediate phases could also be present in the dehydrogenation products if the structure with tetrahedral vacancies is the right structure for Li₂NH. Similarly, Li_{2−*x*}NH_{1+*x*} for 3/4≤*x* 1 is created by replacing a certain amount of NH₂ anions with NH anions in $LiNH₂$ and adding at the same time a suitable amount of Li. For these *x* values, $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$ is unstable with respect to phase separation into $LiNH₂$ and $Li₂NH$. These results are consistent with the experimental fact that $LiNH₂$ is the dominant phase in the hydrogenated sample, but the Bragg peaks for the dehydrogenated $Li₂NH$ phase exhibit unusual broadening. The $NH₂$ - and NH-derived electronic states are well separated in energy for Li2−*x*NH1+*x*. The band gap of $Li_{2-x}NH_{1+x}$ is also smaller than that of both $Li₂NH$ and $LiNH₂$. These electronic properties will help the experimental verification of the intermediate phases. For *x* $=1/2$, we do not find the structure proposed in the literature to be stable at *T*=0 after taking into account a more accurate evaluation for the zero-point energy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Sciences and Engineering under Award No. DE-FG02- 05ER46229. This research uses resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center (NERSC), which is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02- 05CH11231.

*meiyin.chou@physics.gatech.edu

- ¹P. Chen, Z. T. Xiong, J. Z. Luo, J. Y. Lin, and K. L. Tan, [Nature](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01210) ([London](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01210)) 420, 302 (2002).
- ²H. Y. Leng, T. Ichikawa, S. Hino, N. Hanada, S. Isobe, and H. Fujii, [J. Phys. Chem. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp048002j) 108, 8763 (2004).
- ³W. Luo and S. Sickafoose, [J. Alloys Compd.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2005.06.046) **407**, 274 (2006).
- 4P. Chen, Z. Xiong, J. Luo, J. Lin, and K. L. Tan, [J. Phys. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp034149j) B 107[, 10967](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp034149j) (2003).
- 5T. Ichikawa, N. Hanada, S. Isobe, H. Leng, and H. Fujii, [J. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp049968y) [Chem. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp049968y) 108, 7887 (2004).
- 6W. I. F. David, M. O. Jones, D. H. Gregory, C. M. Jewell, S. R. Johnson, A. Walton, and P. Edwards, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja066016s) **129**, [1594](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja066016s) (2007).
- 7L. L. Shaw, W. Osborn, T. Markmaitree, and X. Wan, [J. Power](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2007.11.029) [Sources](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2007.11.029) 177, 500 (2008).
- ⁸ J.-C. Crivello, M. Gupta, R. Černý, M. Latroche, and D. Chandra, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.104113)* **81**, 104113 (2010).
- ⁹K. Ohoyama, Y. Nakamori, S. Orimo, and K. Yamada, [J. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.74.483) [Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.74.483) **74**, 483 (2005).
- 10T. Noritake, H. Nozaki, M. Aoki, S. Towata, G. Kitahara, Y. Nakamori, and S. Orimo, [J. Alloys Compd.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2004.09.063) 393, 264 (2005).
- 11C. J. Zhang, M. Dyer, and A. Alavi, [J. Phys. Chem. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp054961h) **109**, [22089](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp054961h) (2005).
- ¹² J. F. Herbst and L. G. Hector, Jr., [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.125120) **72**, 125120 $(2005).$ $(2005).$ $(2005).$
- 13M. P. Balogh, C. Y. Jones, J. F. Herbst, L. G. Hector, Jr., and M. Kundrat, [J. Alloys Compd.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2005.11.018) 420, 326 (2006).
- 14B. Magyari-Köpe, V. Ozoliņš, and C. Wolverton, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.220101) **73**[, 220101](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.220101)(**R**) (2006).
- ¹⁵ T. Mueller and G. Ceder, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.134104)* **74**, 134104 (2006).
- 16L. G. Hector, Jr. and J. F. Herbst, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/20/6/064229) **20**, [064229](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/20/6/064229) (2008).
- ¹⁷ G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169)* **54**, 11169 (1996).
- ¹⁸G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, [Comput. Mater. Sci.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0927-0256(96)00008-0) **6**, 15 (1996).
- ¹⁹ J. P. Perdew, J. A. Chevary, S. H. Vosko, K. A. Jackson, M. R. Pederson, D. J. Singh, and C. Fiolhais, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.46.6671) **46**, 6671 $(1992).$ $(1992).$ $(1992).$
- ²⁰D. Vanderbilt, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.41.7892)* **41**, 7892 (1990).
- ²¹ H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.13.5188)* **13**, 5188 (1976).
- ²² J. Rijssenbeek, Y. Gao, J. Hanson, Q. Huang, C. Jones, and B. Toby, [J. Alloys Compd.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2006.12.008) **454**, 233 (2008).
- ²³ Y. Wang and M. Y. Chou, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.014116)* **76**, 014116 (2007).
- ²⁴ In another structure for $x=7/8$ with separated additional Li cations and NH anions, the formation energy is as high as 160 meV/f.u.